



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

is made. The agreeable literary style and the wealth of literary and historical allusions will commend it to those who desire a popular presentation of the wider conception of revelation. There is scarcely any discussion of the deeper critical questions involved.

AMES, EDWARD SCRIBNER. *The Divinity of Christ*. Chicago: The Bethany Press, 1911. 123 pages. 75 cents.

The sermons here printed to which the first gives the title, were preached to the congregation of which the writer is pastor. They have Christ for the central theme: "The Divinity of Christ," "The Empirical View of Christ," "Why I Am Not a Unitarian," "The Friendship of Jesus," "The Reincarnation of Jesus," and "Two or Three and Christ." The point of view of the first sermon dominates the others. The conviction that Christ is divine rests not on physical or metaphysical grounds but on the certainty of his spiritual sonship to God. No appeal is made to tradition, nor is Jesus explained in terms of the being of God. God is the kind of being that Jesus was. Since the character or nature of Jesus is summed up in love, and this is also for us the meaning of divinity, we have the conclusive evidence that Jesus is divine. In this, however, he is distinguished from men by no impassable gulf, but he has realized in higher degree the ideal which belongs to all. Dr. Ames's position on "Why I am not a Unitarian" is significant. First, he substitutes the modern conception of personality for the mathematical notion of unity; secondly, he rejects the dualism presupposed between the natural or human and the supernatural or divine; thirdly, he reverses the Unitarian interpretation of Christ through God and reaches God through Jesus; finally, he arrives at his appreciation of religion and Christ, not by way of the intellect, but through the needs of the practical life. On the other hand, he does not enrol himself as a Trinitarian, since with the Disciples of Christ, to which denomination he belongs, he ignores the speculative problem involved in this position. The closing sermon, "Two or Three and Christ," is very interesting, dealing as it does with the fact of a plus element—the "over-soul"—which characterizes the sympathetic association of several persons. Whether this is the meaning of the words of Jesus is another matter. The sermons have the form of thoughtful and suggestive essays rather than that of sermons proper. They are all signs of a wide tendency to turn away from philosophy and even theology to experience and practical interests for the material to interpret the supreme object of the Christian faith.

(By the author of *Pro Christo et Ecclesia*.) *Voluntas Dei*. New York: Macmillan, 1912. 276 pages. \$1.60 net.

The author of this book seems to assume the truth of the various traditional theological concepts, and tries to make them intelligible and palatable by using a wealth of illustrations from many fields of science, especially biological and evolutionary. At times, however, he disguises his thought so well that one can hardly be sure whether he is advancing or retreating. On the whole, he seems to the reviewer to be making an earnest attempt to translate traditional Christianity into the language of modern thought, generally with a rather apparent dependence upon modern idealistic methods and presuppositions.

While, however, a scientific atmosphere is apparent throughout the book, the author frequently fails in precision of scientific statement, and things assumed are later stated as facts. A nerve is not a "conductor of sensation," nor do "sensations tap